

02 JUN 2015

Petition to continue Cosmetic Nurse Injectors to treat patients with live video consultation

Hello, my name is **Andrea Marco Peruzzo** I am a cosmetic nurse injector who has trained to cosmetically treat patients for anti-wrinkle and dermal fillers and has worked for the last 10 years in the cosmetic industry to help maintain my patient's youthfulness and feel good about themselves. I am a Division 1 Registered Nurse with an extensive background in other areas of nursing who has chosen focus on Cosmetic Medicine as my specialty.

I have trained and am working in this industry here in Melbourne for the last 5 years with the cosmetic group called **Anti-Aging** who provide regular training, medical support with my patients having a dual and thorough consultation with doctors or nurse practitioners and myself. We have a thorough consultation process whereby patient education and safety are paramount - current and past medical history is taken and alternative treatments are explained. I meet and train with anti-aging doctors and nurse practitioners on a monthly basis at group training sessions and events and one on one training sessions.

Currently there is a motion in process to try and change the way cosmetic injectable are administered, focusing on the consultation phase. They want to make the doctors or nurse practitioners consultation face-to-face which will make the current live video consultation unacceptable.

If the proposed changes are successful, we will not be able to work as we currently do using real time video with the dual consultation with nurse and doctor or nurse practitioner at this and other clinics.

What we are hoping through this petition is to let AHPRA (Health Practitioners board) to see that the dual consultation process we currently employ is good medical practice and that the needs of our patients are well and truly met and exceeded. If you have had a good experience with the process we have undertaken, we are encouraging as many patients to write in to let them know of these, how your medical needs have been met and if you feel that you are taken care of. If you have had your needs exceeded, please do write in to support the current method.

We support well trained cosmetic nurse injectors to continue in their field of expertise with their existing focus on patient safety, outcomes and education along with the dual consultation with the anti-aging cosmetic doctor or nurse practitioner via real time video . We also support their practice at the clinic they operate and considered them safe.

Date	Name	Address	Comment	Signature
21/5			very happy with marco service,	
21/5			pleased with the video consultation.	
22/5			Am more than happy with treatment and service, video consult excellent	
22/5			Keep Marco as he is brilliant and specialised	
23/5			Marco is an expert at what he does	
23/5				
25/5			HAPPY WITH SERVICE	
27/5			DUAL CONSULTATION WORKS WELL	
27/5			More than happy with service	
28/5			my needs have been exceeded & support the current method	
29/5			I support the current method	

28 MAY 2015

Petition to continue Cosmetic Nurse Injectors to treat patients with live video consultation

Hello, my name is **Andrea Marco Peruzzo** I am a cosmetic nurse injector who has trained to cosmetically treat patients for anti-wrinkle and dermal fillers and has worked for the last 10 years in the cosmetic industry to help maintain my patient's youthfulness and feel good about themselves. I am a Division 1 Registered Nurse with an extensive background in other areas of nursing who has chosen focus on Cosmetic Medicine as my specialty.

I have trained and am working in this industry here in Melbourne for the last 5 years with the cosmetic group called **Anti-Aging** who provide regular training, medical support with my patients having a dual and thorough consultation with doctors or nurse practitioners and myself. We have a thorough consultation process whereby patient education and safety are paramount - current and past medical history is taken and alternative treatments are explained. I meet and train with anti-aging doctors and nurse practitioners on a monthly basis at group training sessions and events and one on one training sessions.

Currently there is a motion in process to try and change the way cosmetic injectable are administered, focusing on the consultation phase. They want to make the doctors or nurse practitioners consultation face-to-face which will make the current live video consultation unacceptable.

If the proposed changes are successful, we will not be able to work as we currently do using real time video with the dual consultation with nurse and doctor or nurse practitioner at this and other clinics.

What we are hoping through this petition is to let AHPRA (Health Practitioners board) to see that the dual consultation process we currently employ is good medical practice and that the needs of our patients are well and truly met and exceeded. If you have had a good experience with the process we have undertaken, we are encouraging as many patients to write in to let them know of these, how your medical needs have been met and if you feel that you are taken care of. If you have had your needs exceeded, please do write in to support the current method.

We support well trained cosmetic nurse injectors to continue in their field of expertise with their existing focus on patient safety, outcomes and education along with the dual consultation with the anti-aging cosmetic doctor or nurse practitioner via real time video.

We also support their practice at the clinic they operate and considered them safe.

Date	Name	Address	Comment	Signature
16.5.2015			I AM AGAINST THE PROPOSED CHANGES.	
16.05.2015			Marco provides an environment that is conducive to promote standards I am used to.	
22.5.15			I feel all treatments are of a high standard, very professional.	
22/5/15			marco provides an amazing service, very talented.	
25/5/15			client of Marco's for 5 years + wouldn't change	



Petition to continue Cosmetic Nurse Injectors to treat patients with live video consultation

Hello, my name is **Andrea Marco Peruzzo**. I am a cosmetic nurse injector who is trained to cosmetically treat patients with anti-wrinkle and dermal fillers. I have worked in the cosmetic industry for the last 10 years to help maintain my patient's youthfulness and feel good about themselves. I am a Division 1 Registered Nurse with an extensive background in other areas of nursing who has chosen to focus on Cosmetic Medicine as my specialty.

I have been working in this industry here in Melbourne for the last 5 years with **anti-aging Cosmetic and Laser** who provide regular injector training both internally and with Galderma and Allergan as well as medical support for my patients via a dual and thorough consultation between doctor or nurse practitioner and myself. **anti-aging** and I have a thorough consultation process in place whereby patient education and safety are paramount - current and past medical history is taken, alternative treatments and pre and post care advice is explained prior to consent being obtained. I meet and train with **anti-aging** doctors and nurse practitioners on a monthly basis at group training sessions, events and one on one training sessions. I find this to be a great method as we all get to know each other's capabilities and experience which creates an even better working relationship.

Currently there is a motion in process to try and change the way cosmetic injectables are administered, focusing on the consultation phase. They want to make the doctors or nurse practitioners consultation face-to-face which will make the current real time video consultation unacceptable.

If the proposed changes are successful, we will not be able to work as we currently do using real time video with dual consultation between myself, doctor or nurse practitioner at this and other clinics.

What we are hoping to achieve through this petition is to let AHPRA (Health Practitioners Board) to see that the dual consultation process we at anti-aging currently employ is good medical practice and that the needs of our patients are well and truly met and exceeded. If you have had a good experience with the process we have undertaken, we are encouraging as many patients to write in to let them know of these, how your medical needs have been met and if you feel that you are taken care of. If you have had your needs exceeded, please do write in to support the current method.

We support well trained cosmetic nurse injectors to continue in their field of expertise with their existing focus on patient safety, outcomes and education along with the dual consultation with the anti-aging cosmetic doctor or nurse practitioner via real time video .

Date	Name	Address	Comment	Signature
4/5				
4/5			Perfect Service, I love it!	
4/5			He was lovely!	
4/5				
4/5			Great service	

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED


08 MAY 2015

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name:

Address:

Signature:



I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

leave as is !

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

A-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

3 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

08 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

YES COULD BE IMPROVED ☒ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

A-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

8 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation in regards to the following changes proposed. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic ,If the above proposed changes are implemented Marco will not be able to work as he currently do with the dual consultation with nurse and doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video .

I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client and I find a comfortable place for all my cosmetic needs

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and area private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe ,clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA



Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

PRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
03 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

RA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
11 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

I'm very happy and comfortable .

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name.

Address

Signature

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

11 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

1.25 Sam
Marco has my pass + I feel very comfortable

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
11 MAY 2015

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

I trust Marco and would not go anywhere else - he IS gentle, professional and timely.

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

A-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
1 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

MARCO IS A GREAT PROFESSIONAL AND I
WOULD NOT WANT TO GO ELSEWHERE!

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
11 MAY 2015

Name:

Address:

Signature:

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
14 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name..

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name.

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name..

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name..

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name.

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature



I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name:

Address:

Signature:

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

15 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments: Marco my Cosmetic Injector provides me with an environment that is always clean and safe

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
19 MAY 2015

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

EXCELLENT SERVICE

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo RN DIV 1** for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

very happy with Marco
he has changed my life -
confidence .

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

28 MAY 2015

Name:

Address:

Signature:

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

YES ☒ COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

YES ☒ COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

Marco is extremely professional
and I'm always happy with my results
I do not want to go anywhere

else to have my procedures done.
I truly hope he can continue his
wonderful work.

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

28 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

20 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name...

Address...

Signature...

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

20 MAY 2015

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments: Marco maintains a highly professional clinic & atmosphere, including providing a safe, clean environment for his patients.


I believe that 'real time video' consultations are a sufficient, efficient mode of consultation and that the proposed changes will only deter current patients from the cosmetic industry.

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature



I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

GREAT SERVICE

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name.....

Address.....

Signature.....

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :



To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name.

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

Very professional. Very Happy.

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

25 MAY 2015

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES ☐ COULD BE IMPROVED ☐ NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments : 100% confidence in Marco .

I'm always in good hands + cared for well.

always very happy .

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED
25 MAY 2015

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name....

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES COULD BE IMPROVED NO (please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

VERY HAPPY .

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

25 MAY 2015

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name...

Address

Signature



I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments :

To the kind attention of the Executive Officer, Medical, AHPRA

Name

Address

Signature

I am writing this letter in response to the Public consultation regarding the following proposed changes. Please note the following points in particular from the Medical Board Public Consultation Paper.

Page 56:

2.5 Other than for minor procedures that do not involve cutting beneath the skin, there should be a cooling off period between the patient giving informed consent and the procedure of at least seven days.

Page 58:

7.2 Medical practitioners must not prescribe schedule 4 (prescription only) cosmetic injectable unless they have had a face-to-face consultation with the patient. A face-to-face consultation is required for each course of injections. Remote prescribing (for example, by phone, email, or video conferencing) of cosmetic injectable is not appropriate

I have been a client of **Marco Andrea Peruzzo** RN DIV 1 for a number of years at this clinic. If the proposed changes are implemented, Marco will not be able to work as he currently does - dual consultation between nurse, doctor or nurse practitioner using real time video. I would be forced to change clinic or to find another practitioner which would be very inconvenient. I have built a trusting relationship with Marco over time and if those changes are implemented I would not be able to come to this clinic of which I am a long term client. This clinic is a comfortable and medically safe environment that meets all my cosmetic needs and importantly is convenient.

1) I would like to add that a 'real time video' is a very good and appropriate face to face consultation

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

2) I feel the consulting room in which Marco operate in beauty/aesthetic and medical clinics are very appropriate. Rooms are clean have good lighting and the area is private.

I did not feel 'unsafe' being consulted correctly at this clinic.

Where i was injected does not cause more risks, it was safe, clean and Marco as a long experience as an injector and is thorough on his consultation process and is very well trained .

☒ YES

☐ COULD BE IMPROVED

☐ NO

(please circle which one is appropriate)

Comments: I feel im at in good hand. I trust marco he is very trustworthy and comforting that is way I return to Marco.

AHPRA-MELBOURNE
RECEIVED

25 MAY 2015