

1st October, 2013

Executive Officer, Medical. Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency, GPO Box 9958 MELBOURNE. VIC. 3001.

SUBMISSION

CONSULTATION - GOOD MEDICAL PRACTICE - A CODE OF CONDUCT FOR DOCTORS IN **AUSTRALIA.**

Thank you for the small extension of time to make a submission on the above. The first question one has to ask is "Who is entitled to make a submission on this"? Having read the format of the Draft Code, I assume that I can be regarded as a "stakeholder" in the success of the medical system in Australia. I am a fifth generation Australian on my mother's side and have ten grandchildren and six great grandchildren. If ever there should be someone interested in the health of the people of Australia, it would be me.

Also, since being welcomed at the door of death in 2000 and only escaping because I refused to go through, with an unknown sickness for eleven days, I feel that I am more than qualified to make a submission. I have done 15 years of my own research on a diverse range of sicknesses and diseases, since I was unable to get any help from four doctors for 2 years prior to my total collapse in the emergency ward of Grafton Base Hospital in March, 2000. It was later diagnosed as Rickettsia Australis, (Queensland tick Typhus) along with Cat Scratch Fever and Ross River Fever. I had to cure myself by doing my own research because nobody could help me. My interest in vaccination was aroused when I discovered that our babies had been given Vitamin K injections since the 1970's and hardly anyone knew about it.

The health industry will have to change, because many people are turning away from mainstream medicine which is financed and supported by the pharmaceutical cartels with varying degrees of conflict of interests and serious side effects caused by over-prescribing drugs and injections, of which many Doctors have little knowledge. They are forced to accept the sales pitch of the pharmaceutical salesman/woman and accept generous offers for the purchase and use of their products.

I have made a few notes from your draft code of conduct of the desirable qualities and ethics of a Health Practitioner; ethical, competent, integrity, truthfulness, dependability, compassion, good communicator, courteous, respectful and honest, beneficial, keeping skills up to date, and last but not least "making sure the Doctor is immunised against

relevant communicable diseases" This is where all the desirable qualities seem irrelevant, because no doctor can retain these qualities while injecting deadly diseases, highly toxic metals, cancer causing substances, toxic chemicals, live and GM viruses, bacteria, contaminated serum, animal viruses, toxic de-contaminants and adjuvants, untested antibiotics, bovine (cow) avian (chicken) and monkey viruses, thiomersal, polysorbate 80, etc.etc.etc. into another human being, or keeping quiet when poisonous fluoride is being added to our water with serious health implications.

We have a worrying increase in neurological problems of all ages and immune systems being destroyed or compromised by these two procedures which are readily accepted and promoted by the AMA and governments. Something has gone seriously wrong with our health system and change is required, immediately.

How could any doctor, or for that matter nurse, or coroner fail to speak out when they see a perfectly healthy baby/toddler turn into "another child" with outrageous behaviour, unable to focus, unable to sleep, and sometimes die after vaccination, and still persist in their indoctrinated teaching about vaccines. The "vaccination controversy" has raged for centuries from the time of Edward Jenner around 1796 until today, where people are now able to search the internet for all sides to this debate and come to their own conclusions as to whether vaccinations are safe.

<u>"Pioneers of Science and Discovery" Edward Jenner and Vaccination. By AJ Harding Rains.</u> 1974 (P.66)

"It took ten years for the practice to become acceptable generally, and for Jenner these were ten hard years of contending with antagonistic physicians and surgeons and with the general misunderstanding about the true cow pox and the technique required. He had to cope with the snubs, the public ridicule, the claims of prior discovery, the setting up of enquiries, and the fortunes of various vaccine institutes. Among the medical antagonists the first was the physician to the Empress of Austria, a Dr Ingenhouse, who happened to be visiting England. He based his attack on the report of one farmer who said he had contracted smallpox after a previous infection with cow pox. Neither farmer nor physician could identify or define true cow pox".

<u>Vaccination and fluoridation have always been controversial matters</u> and both procedures are being seriously questioned by many. It is now easier for the people to do their own research and we are able to question whether the love of money and power is more important to many involved, rather than the good health of the nation and its people.

Just as there is a compulsory reporting system in place for children who have been abused, there must also be the same **compulsory reporting for damage or death being suspected after vaccination**.

It is believed that only 10% are reported and this figure appears to be somewhat accurate with a shocking figure of one in 25 children (that is 3.9%) being severely disabled (age 0-14) in 2010 according to figures gleaned from the Australian Health & Welfare websites and reports.

http://www.aihw.gov.au/child-health/health/#mortality

In 2010 there were 1,229 deaths of infants aged less than 1 year, with 69% dying in the neonatal period (first 28 days after birth) and another 507 children between the age of 1-14. The general public would be shocked if they knew these figures.

There are so many babies dying that groups are now being formed to comfort the parents, and thousands of "memory boxes" being manufactured to store tokens of their tragic loss in the so called "lucky country". Once the damage is done there are no support mechanisms and often the parents are accused of killing their children, and the vaccinations are totally ignored. How many innocent parents, nannies and childcare centres have been blamed for damaging or killing their children, when it was caused by vaccination? I would never babysit a child after vaccination, because the risks are too high.

Doctors cannot remain ethical when they are ignorant of what they are injecting into people, and many of not aware of the ingredients in vaccines. These requirements for doctors and researchers are listed in the Nuremberg Code and the Helsinki Declaration and should be studied as part of the training of doctors, health workers, researchers and government officials, in particular the Ministers For Health. As in the past "I was only taking orders" has never been an acceptable excuse when it involves harm to others.

A Freedom of Information Act filing by a Doctor Andrew Baker, with an Autistic son, in the

<u>U.K.</u> has revealed 30 years of secret official documents showing that government experts have been engaging in unethical behaviour and misconduct, for the sole purpose of protecting the national vaccination program.

- 1. Known the vaccines do not work
- 2. Known they cause the disease they are supposed to prevent
- 3. Known they are a hazard to children
- 4. Colluded to lie to the public
- 5. Worked to prevent safety studies.

Since we now have a change of government, from 7th September, 2013, this submission may be all a waste of time, but it is worth taking the time in case you happen to be interested in my comments and it could end up in the hands of someone who may have the inclination to change things for the better in our country, which seems to be over-run by the majority needing to visit the doctor weekly and our hospitals overflowing onto the streets. The hospital is the busiest place in any town and our society should be seriously questioning why a country with abundant food and water should be so sick and in need of constant medical care.

Bev L. Pattenden.