



Statement of Correction – External review of the specialist medical colleges’ assessment of international medical graduates

To determine comparability of an overseas trained radiologist to a RANZCR trained radiologist, RANZCR compares training and subsequent experience of the candidate. Contrary to the Deloitte report, section 18.3 (page 255) and section 18.6 (page 259) RANZCR does not use clinical case scenarios or film reading to determine or assist in the determination of comparability.

In order to assist the assessors determine the level of supervision required in an Area of Need position, assessors ask questions involving clinical questions and case scenarios relating to specific situations in the clinical radiology AON workplace. The clinical assessments in this setting are not intended by the college to serve as an examination, but rather an information-gathering tool to further determine the applicant’s suitability and level of supervision requirements specific to the Area of Need position. The face to face dual assessment has been set up so that there is a clear delineation between the comparability (SR) component and the suitability (AoN) components of the assessment.

The clinical questions, both case scenarios and film reading portion of the Area of Need assessment have no bearing on the assessment of comparability.

RANZCR is of the understanding that the misunderstanding by Deloitte’s has resulted in a lower than warranted rating.

The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists
April 2018

