

From: john dique
To: [medboardconsultation](#)
Subject: Re changes to code of 2009.
Date: Wednesday, 8 August 2018 7:27:13 PM

Sirs and Mesdames,

the Hippocratic Oath which stood the test of time for two thousand years was butchered locally and the incorrect version placed in the vestibule of the Medical School Herston, while the correct version could be found in the Encyclopedia Britannica below in their own library.

The butchered oath has been handed out to graduates for several years, without obstruction. This should have pricked the conscience of the older members of the medical fraternity. In the butchered Oath there is clear favouring of practices formerly regarded as illegal, and a blot on the landscape of Medicine. Also, costly insurance policies have become part of the plan.

Now with the mediocrity set in place, there is a new initiative which is an attempt to "fix things" so that it all comes under a new order, which has the effect of acting against the doctor's wishes for the patient which have to do with professional educated concern, and which are now under threat.

Each doctor has a conscience and should be willing to fit into the ideals of the profession, but according to a concern that has not changed for thousands of years. This should not be compromised by any arrangement which seeks to over-rule conscience.

The term "culture" can mean many things. "Cultural beliefs and practices" can mean something quite contrary to established norms elsewhere in the country. "Multiculture" for instance has been regarded as a failure by several heads of state, including David Cameron, John Howard, and head of the Spanish government.

The all too subtle attempt to control is a little less subtle than supposed, and part of a sad trend in the country.

If the wording of the 2009 version of the code was sound ethically, why is it necessary for it to be changed some more?

sincerely yours,

John. D.Dique