

From: Sophie Visser
To: [medboardconsultation](#)
Subject: Public Consultation on Good Medical Practice
Date: Saturday, 14 July 2018 8:43:40 PM

Dear Medical Board of Australia

Thank you for this public consultation on proposed revisions to the Code of Conduct.

The proposed revisions are worrying, as they remove the rights of doctors, restricting both independent clinical decision-making and freedom of conscience for doctors. They propose that doctors cannot have a say about what is medical relevant or "medically irrelevant" in medical consultations. The proposal claims that gender ideology and sexual orientation, as well as other patient characteristics (point 3.4 in the draft proposal) are "medically irrelevant" in "decisions about access to medical care", which may not be the case. The proposals claim that only the patient and/or the patient's family can determine whether medical care is "culturally safe", such that patients or families could preclude doctors from determining what is medically relevant to their care, on the grounds that they do not consider the care "culturally safe" (points 2.1 and 4.8 in the draft proposal). This would be dangerous to good medical practice. For example, a patient and/or a patient's family could demand a sex change operation, or other medical procedures or treatments on the basis of cultural preferences, regardless of medical risk. It would be dangerous to good medical practice if discussion about consent (for medical treatment and procedures) were to exclude what the doctor considers medically relevant. This would belie the term "good medical practice" and obstruct the medical professional's freedom to exercise clinical judgment.

Yours sincerely

Sophie Visser